

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES, INC. and  
AMD INTERNATIONAL SALES & SERVICE,  
LTD.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION and  
INTEL KABUSHIKI KAISHA,

Defendants.

C. A. No. 05-441 (JJF)

---

IN RE:

INTEL CORP. MICROPROCESSOR  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL Docket No. 05-1717 (JJF)

---

PHIL PAUL, on behalf of himself  
and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTEL CORPORATION,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 05-485-JJF

CONSOLIDATED ACTION

**INTEL'S NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO TONY CARDINE**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that, pursuant to Rule 45 and Rule 30(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the attached subpoena has been or will be served on Tony Cardine c/o James ("Bo") Pearl, Esq., O'Melveny & Myers LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

Defendants Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushika Kaisha, through their undersigned counsel, will take the deposition upon oral examination of Tony Cardine on October 23, 2009,

commencing at 9:00 AM<sup>1</sup> at the offices of Howrey LLP, 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, CA 90071, or at such other time and place as the parties may agree. The deposition will be recorded via stenographic and sound-and-visual (videographic) means, will be taken before an officer authorized to administer oaths, and will continue from day to day until completed, weekends and public holidays excepted.

OF COUNSEL:

POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP

Robert E. Cooper  
Daniel S. Floyd  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 900071  
(213) 229-7000

Darren B. Bernhard  
Thomas J. Dillickrath  
Howrey LLP  
1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20004  
(202) 783-0800

Dated: October 21, 2009

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
Hercules Plaza, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000  
[rhowitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhowitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)

Attorneys for Defendants  
Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

---

<sup>1</sup> Approximately 7 hours of deposition time needed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Central District of California

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., & AMD Int'l Sales, Ltd

Plaintiff

v.

Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushiki Kaisha

Defendant

Civil Action No. 05-441 JJF, MDL 05-1717 JJF

(If the action is pending in another district, state where:

District of Delaware

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION
OR TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: Tony Cardine, c/o James ("Bo") Pearl, Esq., O'Melveny & Myers LLP, 1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this civil action. If you are an organization that is not a party in this case, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment:

Table with 2 columns: Place and Date and Time. Place: HOWREY LLP, 550 South Hope Street, Suite 1100, Los Angeles, CA 90071. Date and Time: 10/23/2009 9:00 am

The deposition will be recorded by this method: Stenographic and video recording

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and permit their inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(c), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena, and Rule 45 (d) and (e), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so, are attached.

Date: 10/21/2009

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Handwritten signature: Thomas Dillickrath / SDS
Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party)

Intel Corporation and Intel Kabushika Kaisha, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Thomas Dillickrath Howrey LLP
(202) 783-0800 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
dillickratht@howrey.com Washington, DC 20004

Civil Action No. 05-441 JJF, MDL 05-1717 JJF

**PROOF OF SERVICE**

*(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)*

This subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)* \_\_\_\_\_  
was received by me on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_.

I personally served the subpoena on the individual at *(place)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I left the subpoena at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,  
on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or

I served the subpoena on *(name of individual)* \_\_\_\_\_, who is  
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ on *(date)* \_\_\_\_\_; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because \_\_\_\_\_; or

Other *(specify)*:

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also  
tendered to the witness fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of  
\$ \_\_\_\_\_.

My fees are \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for travel and \$ \_\_\_\_\_ for services, for a total of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_ *Server's signature*

\_\_\_\_\_ *Printed name and title*

\_\_\_\_\_ *Server's address*

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

**Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), and (e) (Effective 12/1/07)**

**(c) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.** A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The issuing court must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

**(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.**

**(A) Appearance Not Required.** A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

**(B) Objections.** A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the issuing court for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

**(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.**

**(A) When Required.** On timely motion, the issuing court must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to travel more than 100 miles from where that person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person — except that, subject to Rule 45(c)(3)(B)(iii), the person may be commanded to attend a trial by traveling from any such place within the state where the trial is held;

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

**(B) When Permitted.** To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the issuing court may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information;

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party; or

(iii) a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer to incur substantial expense to travel more than 100 miles to attend trial.

**(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.** In the circumstances described in Rule 45(c)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

**(d) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.**

**(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

**(A) Documents.** A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

**(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.** If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

**(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.** The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

**(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.** The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

**(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.**

**(A) Information Withheld.** A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

**(B) Information Produced.** If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information to the court under seal for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

**(e) Contempt.** The issuing court may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena. A nonparty's failure to obey must be excused if the subpoena purports to require the nonparty to attend or produce at a place outside the limits of Rule 45(c)(3)(A)(ii).

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, W. Harding Drane, Jr., hereby certify that on October 21, 2009, the attached document was hand delivered to the following persons and was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF which will send notification of such filing(s) to the following and the document is available for viewing and downloading from CM/ECF:

Jesse A. Finkelstein  
Frederick L. Cottrell, III  
Chad M. Shandler  
Steven J. Fineman  
Richards, Layton & Finger  
One Rodney Square  
920 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801

James L. Holzman  
J. Clayton Athey  
Prickett, Jones & Elliott, P.A.  
1310 King Street  
P.O. Box 1328  
Wilmington, DE 19899

I hereby certify that on October 21, 2009, I have Electronically Mailed the documents to the following non-registered participants:

Charles P. Diamond  
Linda J. Smith  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars, 7th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
[cdiamond@omm.com](mailto:cdiamond@omm.com)  
[lsmith@omm.com](mailto:lsmith@omm.com)

Mark A. Samuels  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
400 South Hope Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
[msamuels@omm.com](mailto:msamuels@omm.com)

Salem M. Katsh  
Laurin B. Grollman  
Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman LLP  
1633 Broadway, 22nd Floor .  
New York, NY 10019  
[skatsh@kasowitz.com](mailto:skatsh@kasowitz.com)  
[lgrollman@kasowitz.com](mailto:lgrollman@kasowitz.com)

Daniel A. Small  
Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll, P.L.L.C.  
1100 New York Avenue, N.W.  
Suite 500, West Tower  
Washington, DC 20005.  
[dsmall@cmht.com](mailto:dsmall@cmht.com)

Craig C. Corbitt  
Judith A. Zahid  
Zelle Hofmann Voebel & Mason LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[ccorbitt@zelle.com](mailto:ccorbitt@zelle.com)  
[jzahid@zelle.com](mailto:jzahid@zelle.com)

Steve W. Berman  
Anthony D. Shapiro  
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro, LLP  
1301 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2900  
Seattle, WA 98101  
[steve@hbsslaw.com](mailto:steve@hbsslaw.com)  
[tony@hbsslaw.com](mailto:tony@hbsslaw.com)

Guido Saveri  
R. Alexander Saveri  
Saveri & Saveri, Inc.,  
706 Sansome Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
[guido@saveri.com](mailto:guido@saveri.com)  
[rick@saveri.com](mailto:rick@saveri.com)

Michael D. Hausfeld  
Brett W. Landaud  
Hausfeld LLP  
1146 19<sup>th</sup> Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20036  
[mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:mhausfeld@hausfeldllp.com)  
[blandau@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:blandau@hausfeldllp.com)

Michael P. Lehmann  
Jon T. King  
Hausfeld LLP  
44 Montgomery Street  
Suite 3400  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
[mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:mlehmann@hausfeldllp.com)  
[jking@hausfeldllp.com](mailto:jking@hausfeldllp.com)

By: /s/ W. Harding Drane, Jr.  
Richard L. Horwitz (#2246)  
W. Harding Drane, Jr. (#1023)  
POTTER ANDERSON & CORROON LLP  
Hercules Plaza, 6th Floor  
1313 N. Market Street  
P.O. Box 951  
Wilmington, DE 19899-0951  
(302) 984-6000  
[rhowitz@potteranderson.com](mailto:rhowitz@potteranderson.com)  
[wdrane@potteranderson.com](mailto:wdrane@potteranderson.com)